

# Eden Environmental Citizen's Group, LLC

April 30, 2019

Via US Mail, Certified

MAY 0 3 2019

Karen Mirzovan Luxury Auto Dismantling 3551 Recycle Road Suite 1 Rancho Cordova, CA 95742

60-Day Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit Under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act")

To Officers, Directors, Operators, Property Owners and/or Facility Managers of Luxury Auto Dismantling, Inc.:

This letter is being sent to you on behalf of Eden Environmental Citizen's Group, LLC ("EDEN") to give legal notice that EDEN intends to file a civil action against Luxury Auto Dismantling ("Discharger") for violations of the Federal Clean Water Act ("CWA" or "Act") 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et sea., that EDEN believes are occurring at the Luxury Auto Dismantling facility located at 3551 Recycle Road Suite 1 in Rancho Cordova, California ("the Facility" or "the site").

EDEN is an environmental citizen's group established under the laws of the State of California to protect, enhance, and assist in the restoration of all rivers, creeks, streams, wetlands. vernal pools, and tributaries of California, for the benefit of its ecosystems and communities.

EDEN formally registered as a limited liability company (LLC) association with the California Secretary of State on June 22, 2018; however, since at least July 1, 2014, EDEN has existed as an unincorporated environmental citizen's association with members who remain associated with EDEN as of the date of this Notice.

As discussed below, the Facility's discharges of pollutants degrade water quality and harm aquatic life in the Facility's Receiving Waters, which are waters of the United States and

> 2151 Salvio Street #A2-319 Telephone: 925-732-0960 Website:

Concord, CA 94520

Email: edenenvcitizens@gmail.com

edenenvironmental.org

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 2 of 14

described in Section II.B, below. EDEN has members throughout northern California. Some of EDEN's members live, work, and/or recreate near the Receiving Waters and use and enjoy the Receiving Waters for surfing kayaking, camping, fishing, boating, swimming, hiking, cycling, bird watching, picnicking, viewing wildlife, and/or engaging in scientific study.

At least one of EDEN's current members has standing to bring suit against Luxury Auto Dismantling, as the unlawful discharge of pollutants from the Facility as alleged herein has had an adverse effect particular to him or her and has resulted in actual harm to the specific EDEN member(s).

Further, the Facility's discharges of polluted storm water and non-storm water are ongoing and continuous. As a result, the interests of certain individual EDEN members have been, are being, and will continue to be adversely affected by the failure of Luxury Auto Dismantling to comply with the General Permit and the Clean Water Act.

CWA section 505(b) requires that sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under CWA section 505(a), a citizen must give notice of intent to file suit. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b). Notice must be given to the alleged violator, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the State in which the violations occur.

As required by CWA section 505(b), this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit provides notice to the Discharger of the violations which have occurred and continue to occur at the Facility. After the expiration of sixty (60) days from the date of this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit, EDEN intends to file suit in federal court against the Discharger under CWA section 505(a) for the violations described more fully below.

#### I. THE SPECIFIC STANDARD, LIMITATION, OR ORDER VIOLATED

EDEN's investigation of the Facility has uncovered significant, ongoing, and continuous violations of the CWA and the General Industrial Storm Water Permit issued by the State of California (NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB")] Water Quality Order No. 92-12-DWQ, as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ ("1997 Permit") and by Order No. 2014-0057-DWO ("2015 Permit") (collectively, the "General Permit").

Information available to EDEN, including documents obtained from California EPA's online Storm Water Multiple Application and Reporting Tracking System ("SMARTS"), indicates that on or around January 26, 2012, Luxury Auto Dismantling submitted a Notice of Intent ("NOI") to be authorized to discharge storm water from the Facility. On or around August 14, 2015, Luxury Auto Dismantling submitted an NOI to be authorized to discharge storm water from the Facility under the 2015 Permit. Luxury Auto Dismantling's assigned Waste Discharger Identification number ("WDID") is 5S34I023483.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 3 of 14

As more fully described in Section III, below, EDEN alleges that in its operations of the Facility, Luxury Auto Dismantling has committed ongoing violations of the substantive and procedural requirements of the Federal Clean Water Act, California Water Code §13377; the General Permit, the Regional Water Board Basin Plan, the California Toxics Rule (CTR) 40 C.F.R. § 131.38, and California Code of Regulations, Title 22, § 64431.

#### II. THE LOCATION OF THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

#### A. The Facility

The location of the point sources from which the pollutants identified in this Notice are discharged in violation of the CWA is Luxury Auto Dismantling's permanent facility address of 3551 Recycle Road Suite 1 in Rancho Cordova, California.

Luxury Auto Dismantling Facility is an automotive dismantling and wrecking yard. Facility operations are covered under Standard Industrial Classification Code (SIC) 5015 (Automotive Dismantling or Wrecking Yards/Motor Vehicles Parts, Used).

Based on the EPA's Industrial Storm Water Fact Sheet for Sector M – Automobile Salvage Yards and Dismantlers, polluted discharges from operations at the Facility contain galvanized metals; heavy metals, such as iron and aluminum; toxic metals, such as mercury, lead and arsenic; total suspended solids ("TSS"); ethylene glycol; sulfuric acid; chlorinated solvents; benzene; gasoline and diesel fuels; fuel additives; coolants; and oil and grease ("O&G"). Many of these pollutants are on the list of chemicals published by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or developmental or reproductive harm.

Information available to EDEN indicates that the Facility's industrial activities and associated materials are exposed to storm water, and that each of the substances listed on the EPA's Industrial Storm Water Fact Sheet is a potential source of pollutants at the Facility.

# B. The Affected Receiving Waters

The Facility discharges into a municipal storm drain system, which then discharges to the Morrison Creek, a tributary of the Sacramento River ("Receiving Waters").

The Sacramento River is a water of the United States. The CWA requires that water bodies such as the Sacramento River meet water quality objectives that protect specific "beneficial uses." The Central Valley Regional Water Board has issued its Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins ("Basin Plan") to delineate those water quality objectives.

The Basin Plan identifies the "Beneficial Uses" of water bodies in the region. The Beneficial Uses for the Receiving Waters downstream of the Facility include: Municipal and

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 4 of 14

Domestic Supply (MUN), Agricultural Supply (AGR), Industrial Process Supply (PRO), Industrial Service Supply (IND), Navigation (NAV), Water Contact Recreation (REC-1), Noncontact Water Recreation (REC-2), Warm Freshwater Habitat (WARM), Cold Freshwater Habitat (COLD), Wildlife Habitat (WILD), Migration (MIGR), and Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN).

A water body is impaired pursuant to section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d), when its Beneficial Uses are not being achieved due to the presence of one or more pollutants.

The Sacramento River is impaired for Selenium, Salinity, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Boron, Organophosphorus (OP) Pesticides (Diazinon, Chlorpyrifos), Oxygen-Demanding Substances (BOD/Algae, Ammonia, Organic N) Organochlorine "Legacy" Pesticides (DDT, Chlordane, Dieldrin, Toxaphene, etc.) Mercury, Pathogen-Indicator Organisms, E. coli, Fecal Coliforms, and Toxicity of Unknown Cause.

Polluted storm water and non-storm water discharges from industrial facilities, such as the Facility, contribute to the further degradation of already impaired surface waters, and harm aquatic dependent wildlife.

#### III. VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT AND GENERAL PERMIT

#### A. Deficient/Invalid SWPPP and Site Map

Luxury Auto Dismantling's current Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP") and Site Map for the Facility are both inadequate and fail to comply with the requirements of the General Permit as specified in Section X of Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, as follows:

- (a) The Site Map does not include the minimum required components for Site Maps as indicated in Section X.E of the General Permit. Specifically, the Site Map fails to include the following:
  - 1) on-facility surface water bodies;
  - 2) areas of soil erosion:
  - 3) nearby water bodies such as rivers, lakes and creeks;
  - 4) sample locations if different than the identified discharge locations;
  - locations and descriptions of structural control measures that affect industrial storm water discharges, authorized NSWDs and/or run-on;
  - identification of all impervious areas of the facility, including paved areas, buildings, covered storage areas or other roofed structures;
  - locations where materials are directly exposed to precipitation and the locations where identified significant spills or leaks have occurred;
  - 8) all areas of industrial activity subject to the General Permit.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 5 of 14

- (b) The SWPPP fails to discuss in specific detail Facility operations, including its SIC Code and hours of operations (Section X.D.2.d);
- (c) The SWPPP fails to include an adequate discussion of the Facility's receiving waters (Section XI.B.6(e), Section X.G.2.ix);
- (d) The Advanced BMPs as identified in the SWPPP are inadequate to comply with the Best Available Technology ("BAT") and Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology ("BCT") requirements of the General Permit to reduce or prevent discharges of pollutants in the Facility's storm water discharge in a manner that reflects best industry practice, considering technological availability and economic practicability and achievability, including Exposure Minimization BMPs, Storm Water Containment and Discharge Reduction BMPs or Treatment Control BMPs (Section X.H.2);
- (e) The SWPPP omits the date that it was initially prepared (Section X.A.10);
- (f) The SWPPP is invalid because it was not certified and submitted by the Facility's Legally Responsible Person. In fact, the SWPPP was not certified by anyone. Pursuant to Section XII.K of the General Permit, all Permit Registration Documents (PRDs), including SWPPPs, must be certified and submitted by the Facility's authorized Legally Responsible Person;

Failure to develop or implement an adequate SWPPP is a violation of Sections II.B.4.f and X of the General Permit.

B. Failure to Develop, Implement and/or Revise an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program Pursuant to the General Permit

Section XI of the General Permit requires Dischargers to develop and implement a storm water monitoring and reporting program ("M&RP") prior to conducting industrial activities. Dischargers have an ongoing obligation to revise the M&RP as necessary to ensure compliance with the General Permit.

The objective of the M&RP is to detect and measure the concentrations of pollutants in a facility's discharge, and to ensure compliance with the General Permit's Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations. An adequate M&RP ensures that BMPs are effectively reducing and/or eliminating pollutants at the Facility, and it must be evaluated and revised whenever appropriate to ensure compliance with the General Permit.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 6 of 14

#### 1. Failure to Conduct Visual Observations

Section XI(A) of the General Permit requires all Dischargers to conduct visual observations at least once each month, and sampling observations at the same time sampling occurs at a discharge location.

Observations must document the presence of any floating and suspended material, oil and grease, discolorations, turbidity, odor and the source of any pollutants. Dischargers must document and maintain records of observations, observation dates, locations observed, and responses taken to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges.

EDEN believes that between July 1, 2015, and the present, Luxury Auto Dismantling has failed to conduct monthly and sampling visual observations pursuant to Section XI(A) of the General Permit.

#### 2. Failure to Collect and Analyze the Required Number of Storm Water Samples

In addition, EDEN alleges that Luxury Auto Dismantling has failed to provide the Regional Water Board with the minimum number of annual documented results of Facility runoff sampling as required under Sections XI.B.2 and XI.B.11.a of Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, in violation of the General Permit and the CWA.

Section XI.B.2 of the General Permit requires that all Dischargers collect and analyze storm water samples from two Qualifying Storm Events ("QSEs") within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31), and two (2) QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30).

Section XI.C.6.b provides that if samples are not collected pursuant to the General Permit, an explanation must be included in the Annual Report.

As of the date of this Notice, Luxury Auto Dismantling has failed to upload into the SMARTS database system:

- Two storm water sample analyses for the time period July 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015;
- Two storm water sample analyses for the time period January 1, 2016, through June 30, 2016;
- Two storm water sample analyses for the time period July 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016;
- Two storm water sample analyses for the time period January 1, 2017, through June 30, 2017;

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 7 of 14

- e. Two storm water sample analyses for the time period July 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017;
- f. Two storm water sample analyses for the time period January 1, 2018, through June 30, 2018; and

Furthermore, pursuant to data collected from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA"), there were sufficient storm events occurring near 3551 Recycle Road Suite 1 in Rancho Cordova during Facility operating hours within the reporting years where required stormwater sample collections were missed to have allowed the Facility to collect at least the minimum number of storm water samples required by the General Permit.

### 3. Failure to Deliver Samples to a Laboratory within 48 Hours of Collection

Pursuant to Attachment H, Section 2 of the General Permit, Dischargers are to deliver storm water run-off samples to a qualified Laboratory within 48 hours of the date and time of physical sampling. Luxury Auto Dismantling's samples listed below were not delivered to the Facility's Laboratory in that time frame:

Sample Date/Time	Date/Time Laboratory Received Sample	
11/15/2017	11/21/2017	
10:00 am	2:45 pm	
01/08/2018	01/10/2018	
8:30 am	2:20 pm	

# 4. Failure to Utilize the Correct Parameter Test Method

Table 2, Section XI.B.11 of the General Permit, specifies particular Test Methods for required sampling parameters, as listed below.

PARAMETER	TEST METHOD		
TSS	SM 2540-D		
Oil & Grease	EPA 1664A		
Zinc Total (H)	EPA 200.8		
Copper, Total (H)	EPA 200.8 EPA 200.8		
Lead, Total (H)			
COD	SM 5220C		
Aluminum	EPA 200.8		

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 8 of 14

Iron	EPA 200.7	
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen	SM 4500-NO3-E	
Phosphorus	SM4500-P B+E	
Ammonia (as N)	SM 4500-NH3 B+ C or E	
Magnesium	EPA 200.7	
Cadmium	EPA 200.8	
Nickel	EPA 200.8	
Silver	EPA 200.8	
BOD	SM 5210B	

Luxury Auto Dismantling's storm water analysis dated December 12, 2017 and January 22, for samples collected on November 15, 2017 and January 8, 2018 failed to use the proper Test Method of EPA 1664A for Oil & Grease.

# 5. Failure to Upload Storm Water Sample Analyses within 30 Days

Section XI.B.11.a of the General Permit requires Dischargers to submit all sampling and analytical results for all individual or Qualified Combined Samples via SMARTS within 30 days of obtaining all results for each sampling event.

Luxury Auto Dismantling failed to upload into SMARTS within 30 days the following sampling and analytical results pursuant to Section XI.B.11.a of the General Permit:

Sample Date	Date of Laboratory Report	Date Uploaded into SMARTS	Length of Time Late
11/15/2017	12/12/2017	07/10/2018	210 Days
01/08/2018	01/22/2018	07/10/2018	169 Days

# C. Falsification of Annual Reports Submitted to the Regional Water Board

Section XXI.L of the General Permit provides as follows:

#### L. Certification

Any person signing, certifying, and submitting documents under Section XXI.K above shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all Attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 9 of 14

information submitted is, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Further, Section XXI.N of the General Permit provides as follows:

#### N. Penalties for Falsification of Reports

Clean Water Act section 309(c)(4) provides that any person that knowingly makes any false material statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this General Permit, including reports of compliance or noncompliance shall upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or by imprisonment for not more than two years or by both.

On July 30, 2018, Luxury Auto Dismantling submitted its Annual Report for the Fiscal Year 2017-18. Mr. George Mirzoyan signed the Report under penalty of law. Mr. Mirzoyan is the current Legally Responsible Person ("LRP") for Luxury Auto Dismantling.

Mr. Mirzoyan responded "Yes" to Question No. 3 on the Annual Report ("Did you sample the required number of Qualifying Storm Events during the reporting year for all discharge locations, in accordance with Section XI.B?") However, as discussed above, Luxury Auto Dismantling failed to collect and analyze the required number of storm water samples during the reporting year in question.

#### D. Deficient BMP Implementation

Sections I.C, V.A and X.C.1.b of the General Permit require Dischargers to identify and implement minimum and advanced Best Management Practices ("BMPs") that comply with the Best Available Technology ("BAT") and Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology ("BCT") requirements of the General Permit to reduce or prevent discharges of pollutants in their storm water discharge in a manner that reflects best industry practice, considering technological availability and economic practicability and achievability

EDEN alleges that Luxury Auto Dismantling has been conducting industrial activities at the site without adequate BMPs to prevent resulting non-storm water discharges. Non-storm water discharges resulting from these activities are not from sources that are listed among the authorized non-storm water discharges in the General Permit, and thus are always prohibited.

Luxury Auto Dismantling's failure to develop and/or implement adequate BMPs and pollution controls to meet BAT and BCT at the Facility violates and will continue to violate the CWA and the Industrial General Permit each day the Facility discharges storm water without meeting BAT and BCT.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 10 of 14

#### Specific BMP Deficiencies

On March 28, 2012, January 14, 2014, February 10, 2014, and October 26, 2017 the Facility was inspected by the staff of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. During that inspection, the staff members noticed several BMP deficiencies and evidences of Non-Stormwater Discharges.

Some of the BMP deficiencies included oil drums without secondary containment, scrap metal stored on the pavement, uncovered transmission parts stored on racks, uncovered engines, exposed cracked batteries, and several oil spills across the site.

The General Permit requires Spill and Leak Prevention Response as a required minimum BMP which should be outlined in the facility's SWPPP and properly implemented per Section X.H.l.c of the General Permit.

In addition, the Discharger is required by the General Permit to implement exposure minimization BMP's to the extend feasible. (Section X.H.2.b.i) Which would include the proper coverage and storage of the exposed auto parts that could potentially contribute to storm water pollution.

A Notice of Violation ensued each time after the inspections were performed with specific actions required to be completed and/or implemented by the discharger, as required by the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

On June 27, 2018, Mr. Jorge L. Beltran sent an Inspection Report Transmittal via email to George Mirzoyan, the Facility's LRP. The email requested that the Facility follow the Spill Response Plan identified in the SWPPP and reduce stormwater exposure to oil and grease by covering the exposed auto parts.

#### E. Discharges in Violation of the General Permit

Except as authorized by Special Conditions of the General Permit, Discharge Prohibition III(B) prohibits permittees from discharging materials other than storm water (non-storm water discharges) either directly or indirectly to waters of the United States. Unauthorized non-storm water discharges must be either eliminated or permitted by a separate NPDES permit.

Information available to EDEN indicates that unauthorized non-storm water discharges occur at the Facility due to inadequate BMP development and/or implementation necessary to prevent these discharges.

Specifically, the Regional Water Board conducted a storm water compliance inspection of the Facility on October 26, 2017 ("Inspection"), which resulted in a Notice of Violation ("NOV") being issued to Luxury Auto Dismantling.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 11 of 14

EDEN alleges that the Discharger has discharged storm water containing excessive levels of pollutants from the Facility to its Receiving Waters during at least every significant local rain event over 0.1 inches in the last five (5) years.

EDEN hereby puts the Discharger on notice that each time the Facility discharges prohibited non-storm water in violation of Discharge Prohibition III.B of the General Permit is a separate and distinct violation of the General Permit and Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).

#### F. Failure to Comply with the Mandates of the Regional Water Board

Pursuant to Section XIX of the General Permit, Regional Water Boards have general authority to enforce the provisions and requirements of the General Permit, including reviewing SWPPPs, Monitoring Implementation Plans, ERA Reports, and Annual Reports and requiring Dischargers to revise and re-submit PRDs, conducting compliance inspections, and taking enforcement actions.

As fully discussed above, the Regional Water Quality Control Board sent an Inspection Report Transmittal via email and issued Luxury Auto Dismantling a Notice of Violation on June 27, 2018.

The Inspection Report Transmittal required the Discharger to remove all spills within the areas of industrial activities, provide secondary containment for oil drums located in Hazardous Waste Storage Area, remove car battery, and upload photographs documenting the corrections and improvements made into SMARTS and send them to Mr. Beltran.

The Notice of Violation required the Discharger to upload the following documents to SMARTS by July 27, 2018:

- A report explaining why storm water samples have not been collected and analyzed for reporting periods 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. Additionally, describe what actions will be implemented to ensure that storm water sampling and analysis is conducted in accordance with the Industrial General Permit requirements in the future; and
- Documentation of SWPPP training provided to the Pollution Prevention Team regarding storm water sampling and analysis

Luxury Auto Dismantling has failed to comply with those mandates as of the date of this Notice.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 12 of 14

#### G. Failure to Comply with Facility SWPPP

Section "MIP.III" of the Facility SWPPP indicates that the Facility will collect and analyze storm water samples from two qualified storm events within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31) and two QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30).

As detailed above, the Facility missed collecting storm water samples in the reporting years 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18.

Luxury Auto Dismantling may have had other violations that can only be fully identified and documented once discovery and investigation have been completed. Hence, to the extent possible, EDEN includes such violations in this Notice and reserves the right to amend this Notice, if necessary, to include such further violations in future legal proceedings.

The violations discussed herein are derived from eye witness reports and records publicly available. These violations are continuing.

#### IV. THE PERSON OR PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VIOLATIONS

The entities responsible for the alleged violations are Luxury Auto Dismantling, as well as employees of the Facility responsible for compliance with the CWA.

# V. THE DATE, DATES, OR REASONABLE RANGE OF DATES OF THE VIOLATIONS

The range of dates covered by this 60-day Notice is from at least July 1, 2014 to the date of this Notice. EDEN may from time to time update this Notice to include all violations which may occur after the range of dates covered by this Notice. Some of the violations are continuous in nature; therefore, each day constitutes a violation.

#### VI. CONTACT INFORMATION

The entity giving this 60-day Notice is Eden Environmental Citizen's Group ("EDEN")

Aiden Sanchez EDEN ENVIRONMENTAL CITIZEN'S GROUP 2151 Salvio Street #A2-319 Concord. CA 94520

Telephone: (925) 732-0960

Email: Edenenvcitizens@gmail.com (emailed correspondence is preferred)

Website: edenenvironmental.org

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 13 of 14

To ensure proper response to this Notice, all communications should be addressed to EDEN's General Counsel, Hans W. Herb.

HANS W. HERB Law Offices of Hans W. Herb P.O. Box 970 Santa Rosa, CA 95402 Telephone: (707) 576-0757 Email: hans@tankman.com

# VII. RELIEF SOUGHT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

CWA §§ 505(a)(1) and 505(f) provide for citizen enforcement actions against any "person," including individuals, corporations, or partnerships, for violations of NPDES permit requirements and for un-permitted discharges of pollutants. 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365(a)(1) and (f), §1362(5).

Pursuant to Section 309(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), and the Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation, 40 C.F.R. § 19.4, each separate violation of the Clean Water Act subjects the violator to a penalty for all violations occurring during the period commencing five (5) years prior to the date of the Notice Letter. These provisions of law authorize civil penalties of \$37,500.00 per day per violation for all Clean Water Act violations after January 12, 2009, and \$51,570.00 per day per violation for violations that occurred after November 2, 2015.

In addition to civil penalties, EDEN will seek injunctive relief preventing further violations of the Clean Water Act pursuant to Sections 505(a) and (d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) and (d), declaratory relief, and such other relief as permitted by law. Lastly, pursuant to Section 505(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d), EDEN will seek to recover its litigation costs, including attorneys' and experts' fees.

# VIII. CONCLUSION

The CWA specifically provides a 60-day notice period to promote resolution of disputes. EDEN encourages Luxury Auto Dismantling's counsel to contact **EDEN's counsel** within 20 days of receipt of this Notice to initiate a discussion regarding the violations detailed herein. Please do not contact EDEN directly.

60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue April 30, 2019 Page 14 of 14

During the 60-day notice period, EDEN is willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations; however, if Luxury Auto Dismantling wishes to pursue such discussions in the absence of litigation, it is suggested those discussions be initiated soon so that they may be completed before the end of the 60-day notice period. EDEN reserves the right to file a lawsuit if discussions are continuing when the notice period ends.

Very truly yours,

AIDEN SÄNCHEZ

Eden Environmental Citizen's Group

Copies to:

Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Executive Director, State Water Resources Control Board Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA – Region 9